



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,877	03/13/2000	Peter Warnes	ARC.005A	6131
27299 7	590 08/07/2003			
GAZDZINSKI & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
11440 WEST I SAN DIEGO,	BERNARDO COURT, SU CA 92127	JITE 375	HUISMAN, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	16
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	>//		
		09/523,877	WARNES ET AL.	//		
,		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David J. Huisman	2183			
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess		
Therefore, further action by the final rejection under 37 CFR	he applicant is required to a 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (timely filed Notice of Appe	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC (void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whimal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The period for reply expire event, however, will the sta ONLY CHECK THIS BOX 706.07(f).	atutory period for reply expire later the WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purpose 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1)	es of determining the period of exten) the expiration date of the shortened ved by the Office later than three mo	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rejoints.	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
		s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2. ☐ The proposed amendn	nent(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new iss	ues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issu	ie of new matter (see Note l	below);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present addi	tional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE: see attach	ned sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has o	vercome the following rejec	ction(s):				
4. ☐ Newly proposed or am canceling the non-allo		I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment		
	☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fon for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NC	OT place the		
	will NOT be considered be in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
		t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel		and an		
The status of the claim	n(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5	. 14-20, 23, and 25-43, as set	forth in the final rejection, mailed or	n May 29, 2003.			
Claim(s) withdrawn fro	om consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing	correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached Info	rmation Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		A//		
10. Other:			RICHARD PRIMARY I	L. ELLIS EXAMINER		

Claim 1 has been amended to include at least one user-definable mode, where the user-configurable and user-definable modes are each specified by the same ones of said plurality of bits. These added limitations have narrowed the scope of independent claim 1, and consequently, further search and consideration is required on behalf of the exmainer.